

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | Ю. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/521,663 | | 03/08/2000 | Richard Taylor | 1509-106 | 2149 | |
| 22879 | 7590 07/13/2005 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| HEWLE | TT PA | CKARD COMPAN | POON, I | POON, KING Y | | |
| | |), 3404 E. HARMON L PROPERTY ADMI | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400 | | | | 2624 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| | | 09/521,663 | TAYLOR ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | King Y. Poon | 2624 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A | pril 2005. | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5) 6) 7) | Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-65 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) he hold in abovenee. See 37 CER 1.85(s) | | | | | | |
| 11) | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | ıt(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform | te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/521,663

Art Unit: 2624

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Species disclosed at page 4, lines 20-21; resource information are provided on the first page.
- II. Species disclosed at page 4, lines 21-22; resource information that are provided but are not provided on a first page.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is also required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - III Claims 1-16, 35, 38, 39, 44, 45, 50, 52, 53, 56, 59, 60, 61, 63, drawn to communication of a system having a computer and a printer, classified in class 358, subclass 1.15.
 - IIII Claims 21-34, 36, 37, 42-43, 46, 47, 48, 49, 58, 62, drawn to a computer classified in class 708, subclass 100.
 - IIII Claims 17-20, 40, 41, 51, 54, 55, 57, 64, drawn to printer, classified in class 347, subclass 111.
- 3. Inventions IIII and IIIII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if

Application/Control Number: 09/521,663

Art Unit: 2624

they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, printer has separate utility such as printing for a digital camera. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 4. Inventions III and IIII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the detail in the subcombination claim 36 recites annotate page description language and job control language instruction data with resource information which is not recited in combination claim 52. The subcombination has separate utility such as receiving data from Internet.
- 5. Inventions III and IIIII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the detail in the subcombination claim 40 recites processor being arranged such that the schedule of resources includes changing the order of operation of tasks related to the printer printing a document data which is not recited in combination claim 52. The subcombination has separate utility such as printing for a digital camera.

Application/Control Number: 09/521,663

Art Unit: 2624

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to King Y. Poon whose telephone number is 571-272-7440. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on 571-272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/521,663 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 9, 2005

KING Y. POON PRIMARY EXAMINER